Review Article

© Imam 0, et al. 2025

The Effect of Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases Organisms in Appendectomy Cultures on Complication Rate in Pediatric Appendicitis

Omar Imam^{1*}, Khaled Al Zubaidi², Amina Al-Abdallah², Andres Perez Lopez³, Mohamad Hasan², Osama Samarah², Ali Basahel⁴, Ali Soliman⁵, and Zaid Imam⁶

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Sidra Medicine, Qatar

²Pediatric infectious Disease Department, Medical College of Wisconsin, USA

³Department of Pathology, Sidra Medicine, Qatar

⁴Division of Pediatric Hematology Oncology, Sidra Medicine, Qatar

⁵Pediatrics Resident, Sidra Medicine and Hamad Medical Corporation, Al Wakra Hospital, Qatar

⁶Division Chief of Women's Mental Health, Sidra Medicine, Qatar

Abstract

There has been an increase in Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase (ESBL) organisms isolated from clinical specimens during abdominal surgery. There is no data on whether this is associated with higher complication rate or the duration of hospitalization. This retrospective cohort study compared the complication rate in ESBL positive and negative patients.

Keywords: Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase; Antibiotic; Surgical site infection; Microbiology.

INTRODUCTION

Appendicitis is the most common surgical cause of abdominal pain among children presenting to the emergency room [1]. Antibiotics, together with surgery, are the standard approach for treatment of appendicitis and have even been advocated as an option even without surgical intervention [2]. There is wide variance in antibiotics chosen for treatment of appendicitis among surgeons [3].

The latest guideline published by the 2010 American Pediatric Surgical Association Outcomes and Clinical Trials Committee, recommended the use of, broad-spectrum, single or dual agent therapy [4]. Additionally, with the rise of multi-drug resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* including ESBL organisms, antibiotic coverage has become more challenging. The role and need for coverage of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamases (ESBL) bacterial growth in routine peritoneal fluid culture taken during surgery has been a subject of wide debate among both surgeons and infectious disease specialists. This has a strong impact on both empirical coverage and duration of treatment, which directly affect the cost of health care and clinical outcomes.

Our study was aimed at assessing the correlation between ESBL organisms in intraoperative peritoneal fluid culture and the post-

Submitted: 24 January, 2025 | Accepted: 02 February, 2025 | Published: 03 February, 2025

*Corresponding author(s): Omar Imam, Department of Infectious Diseases, Sidra Medicine, Doha, Qatar.

Copyright: © 2025 Imam O, et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Imam O, Al Zubaidi K, Al-Abdallah A, Lopez AP, Hasan M et al (2025) The Effect of Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamases Organisms in Appendectomy Cultures on Complication Rate in Pediatric Appendicitis. SM J Infect Dis 8: 4.

operative complication rate and evaluating the effect of different empirical antibiotics regimen on the post-surgical outcome.

METHODOLOGY

Retrospective Data analysis of cases of appendicitis admitted to Sidra Medicine between 2017 and 2020 was performed. Patient characteristics were documented and analysis was performed.

Patient Characteristics

The mean age of patients was 10.4 (SD 3.3). 60.3% of patients were male. The median duration of symptoms was 1 day prior to admission. In more than 75% of cases the culture was not of use in management. The culture did not grow (49.2%), or grew normal flora and anaerobes (25.8%), thus not helping with the antibiotic decision. *E.coli* was the most common bacterial isolate (7.6%), followed by isolated cases of *staphylococcus aureus* (1.1%), pseudomonas (1.1%) and other miscellaneous organisms (*Raoultella spp., Collinsella spp.*). Extended Spectrum Beta lactamase (ESBL) harboring isolates grew in 49 cultures, an incidence of 12.9%. All the isolates were detected with mixed flora containing *E.coli* and anaerobic growth, with an overall ESBL prevalence of 38% among *E.coli* isolates.

The rate of complications was generally low. All appendectomies were performed laparoscopically; which has been associated with lower infection rates (1). The Surgical Site Infection (SSI) rate was 1.8%, all the cases of SSIs occurred in the non-ESBL cases. Need for intervention rate was 2.9% and the median length of stay was 5 days (+/- 2 days). The study showed correlation between ESBL status and younger age with ESBL cases being 1 year. Younger higher CRP on admission, perforated appendix, and longer Length of stay.

Univariate analysis showed correlation between symptom duration prior to admission (OR 1.94, p < 0.005), ESBL status (OR 3.35, p < 0.005), and perforated appendix (OR 29.7, p < 0.005) with a length of stay greater than 5 days. There was no statistical correlation between these variables and the rate of readmission or surgical site infection (Table 1). Multivariate analysis however showed no significant correlation between any of the aforementioned variables and the clinical outcomes save for

SM J Infect Dis 8: 4



the perforated status (OR 19.6, p < 0.005).

Table 1: Characteristics of Patients Admitted With Acute Appendicitis to Sidra Hospital between 2018-2020.

Variable	Value
Age (SD)/years	10.4 (3.3)
Male Sex	229 (60.3%)
Symptom duration (IQR)/days	1 (1-2)
C-reactive protein on admission (IQR)	33 (8-85) ng/m
Time to defervescence from appendectomy (IQR)/days	0 (0-1
Microbiology	1
No microorganisms isolated	187 (49.2%)
mixed flora	98 (25.8%)
Anaerobes	57 (15.0%)
Eschericia coli	29 (7.6%)
Staphylococcus aureus	4 (1.1%)
other	3 (0.8%)
Pseudomonas spp.	2 (0.5%)
ESBL bacterial isolates	49 (12.9%)
Perforated appendicitis	158 (41.6%)
Outcomes	
Surgical site infection (SSI)	7 (1.8%)
Readmission	9 (2.4%)
Need for additional surgical/radiological intervention	11 (2.9%)
Length of stay (IQR)/days	5 (3-7)

DISCUSSION

ESBL organisms were first discovered in 1979. The prevalence of ESBL harboring *E.coli* has increased significantly from the early 2000s till now. With one meta-analysis showing an eight-fold increase [5] (Table 2). The increase is higher in developing countries including South-east asia compared to Europe [5]. The percentage of Escherichia coli isolates harboring ESBL genes in our study was 38%, which is a little higher than what was identified in other studies. 31.7% of isolates in a 2018 study of UTIs in Qatar were ESBL producers, versus 22.4% in diarrhagenic E.coli [6]. There are no high-quality trials assessing the significance of ESBL organisms in appendectomy cultures. The latest World Society of Emergency Surgery 2020 consensus statement merely mentions that empiric antibiotics used in appendicitis should be amoxicillin/ clavulanate and that ertapenem should be used when ESBL organisms are suspected without strong data to support this recommendation [4]. Literature review identified no prospective trials comparing the use of ESBL directed therapy in cases of appendicitis. Only a single retrospective trial from India was identified that had 17 patients with ESBL isolates that were treated with carbapenem versus other antibiotics and showed no significant difference, however this was a retrospective trial with a low number of samples.

Our center's protocol suggests taking peritoneal cultures in all cases of appendicitis, whether simple or perforated. The results show that this practice is not cost effective or practical. 75% of isolates did not grow, grew normal flora, or mixed anaerobes which are of no consequence in management. A better approach might be to take cultures only in complicated/perforated cases (Table 3). A 2010 Review also illustrated some points: simple appendectomy cases are in many times discharged before final culture results are available and the culture does not always reflect clearly the microbiologic diversity in the infected appendix [7]. Our surgical site infection rate was 1.8%, a favorable number compared to other developing nations (8.8%) [8]. The duration of symptoms prior to presentation has been related with more complications in a previous study [9]. Our study showed an aOR of 1.94 (p < 0.005) of a hospital stay more than 5 days with longer symptom duration prior to admission, however this difference disappeared on multivariate analysis.

CONCLUSION

In summary this study shows that there is no significant difference in the complication rate between ESBL positive and ESBL negative cases. There was no significant difference in the length of stay or time to defervescence between both groups.

 Table 2: Comparing Characteristics of Patients with ESBL-isolates vs. Patients with no ESBL-isolates.

Variable	Patients with ESBL producing isolates	Patients with non-ESBL producing isolates	<i>P</i> -value
Age (SD)/years	9.0 (3.6)	10.6 (3.2)	< 0.005
Male Sex	33 (67.3%)	196 (59.4%)	0.299
Symptom duration (IQR)/days	2 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	0.330
C-reactive protein on admission (IQR)	76 (25-166)	30.0 (8-72)	< 0.005
Time to defervescence from appendectomy (IQR)/ days	1 (0-2)	0 (0-1)	< 0.005
Perforated Appendicitis	35 (71.4%)	123 (37.3%)	< 0.005
	Outcomes		
Surgical site infection (SSI)	0 (0%)	7 (2.1%)	0.303
Need for additional surgical/radiological intervention	4 (8.2%)	7 (2.1%)	0.019
Length of stay (IQR)/days	5 (7-9)	4 (3-6)	< 0.005

Abbreviations: SD: Standard Deviation, IQR: Interquartile Range, ESBL: Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase

SM J Infect Dis 8: 4 2/4



Table 3: Correlates of length of stay, surgical site infections, and need for additional surgical/radiological intervention.

Age Male Sex Symptom Duration C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	0.94 (0.89-1.00) 0.84 (0.55-1.28) 1.94 (1.53-2.47) 1.02 (1.01-1.02) 3.35 (1.80-6.26)	0.083 0.410 < 0.005 < 0.005
Age Male Sex Symptom Duration C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	0.84 (0.55-1.28) 1.94 (1.53-2.47) 1.02 (1.01-1.02) 3.35 (1.80-6.26)	0.410 < 0.005
Male Sex Symptom Duration C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate ESBL producing isolate Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	0.84 (0.55-1.28) 1.94 (1.53-2.47) 1.02 (1.01-1.02) 3.35 (1.80-6.26)	0.410 < 0.005
Symptom Duration C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	1.94 (1.53-2.47) 1.02 (1.01-1.02) 3.35 (1.80-6.26)	< 0.005
C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Secure of the protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	1.02 (1.01-1.02) 3.35 (1.80-6.26)	
ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Surgical Site Infections Age C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	3.35 (1.80-6.26)	< 0.005
Perforated appendicitis Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate		
Surgical Site Infections Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	20.7 (16.7.52.0)	< 0.005
Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	29.7 (16.7-52.8)	< 0.005
Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate		
C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	0.94 (0.75-1.17)	0.569
ESBL producing isolate Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	0.65 (0.13-3.27)	0.601
Perforated appendicitis Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.951
Need for additional surgical/radiology intervention Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	-a	-a
Age Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	1.89 (0.42-8.59)	0.407
Male Sex C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	1	
C-reactive protein on admission ESBL producing isolate	0.84 (0.70-1.01)	0.060
ESBL producing isolate	0.65 (0.18-2.28)	0.498
	1.01 (1.002-1.01)	0.004
Destructed and Provi	4.10 (1.16-14.6)	0.029
Perforated appendicitis	3.89 (1.02-14.9)	0.047
Multivariate Analysis		
Outcome	aOR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Length of Stay > 5 days		
Age	0.97 (0.89-1.07)	0.565
Symptom Duration	1.31 (0.97-1.77)	0.075
C-reactive protein on admission	1.01 (1.00-1.01)	0.002
ESBL producing isolate	1.29 (0.53-3.14)	0.569
Perforated Appendicitis	19.3 (10.5-35.5)	< 0.005
Need for additional surgical/radiological interventio	on	
Age	0.89 (0.74-1.07)	0.204
C-reactive protein on admission	1.01 (0.99-1.01)	0.107
ESBL producing isolate	2.14 (0.54-8.45)	0.279
Perforated appendicitis	1.86 (0.42-8.22)	0.411

 $Abbreviations: OR: Odds \ Ratio, a OR: adjusted \ Odds \ Ratio, ESBL: Extended \ Spectrum \ Beta-Lactamase$

SM J Infect Dis 8: 4

 $^{{}^{\}mathrm{a}}$ Complete separation of this variable precluded ability to perform logistic regression analysis.





REFERENCES

- Volkan Sarper Erikci. Management of Pediatric Appendicitis. Current Issues in the Diagnostics and Treatment of Acute Appendicitis. Dmitry Victorovich Garbuzenko, Intech Open. 2018.
- Flum DR, Davidson GH, Monsell SE, Shapiro NI, Odom SR, Sanchez SE, et al. A Randomized trial comparing antibiotics with appendectomy for appendicitis. N Engl J Med. 2020: 383.
- Da Wei Thong, Jason Kim, Benjamin Dobson, Henry Cheung, Thomas Arthur. Variation in anti-microbial prescription and complications post emergency appendicectomy in Australia: do we follow recommended guidelines?. ANZ J Surg. 2020; 90: 251-256.
- Steven L Lee, Saleem Islam, Laura D Cassidy, Fizan Abdullah, Marjorie J Arca. 2010 American Pediatric Surgical Association Outcomes and Clinical Trials Committee. Antibiotics and appendicitis in the pediatric population: an American Pediatric Surgical Association Outcomes and Clinical Trials Committee systematic review. J Pediatr Surg. 2010; 45: 2181-2185.
- Bezabih Y, Sabiiti W, Alamneh E, Bezabih A, Peterson G, Bezabhe W, et al. The global prevalence and trend of human intestinal carriage of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli in the community. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2021; 76: 22-29.

- Perez-Lopez A, Sundararaju S, Al-Mana H, TsuiK, Hasan M, Suleiman M et al. Molecular Characterization of Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase–Producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae among the Pediatric Population in Qatar. Front Microbiol. 2020; 11: 581711.
- Foster D, Kethman W, Cai L, Weiser T, Forrester J. Surgical Site Infections after Appendectomy Performed in Low and Middle Human Development-Index Countries: A Systematic Review. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2018; 190: 237-244.
- Huw OB Davies, Nawar A Alkhamesi, Peter M Dawson. Peritoneal fluid culture in appendicitis: Review in changing times. Int J Surg. 2010; 8: 426-429.
- Jiang L, Liu Z, Tong X, Deng Y, Liu J, Yang X, et al. Does the time from symptom onset to surgery affect the outcomes of patients with acute appendicitis? A prospective cohort study of 255 patients. Asian J Endosc Surg. 2020; 14: 361-367.

SM J Infect Dis 8: 4 4/4