

Atherogenic Dyslipidemia as a Marker of Cardiometabolic Risk

Jesus E Millan Nunez-Cortes^{1*} and Joaquin J Millan Perez²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, General University Hospital Gregorio Maranon, Spain

²Unit of Vascular Risk and Lipids, School of Medicine of the Complutense, University of Madrid, Spain

Article Information

Received date: Apr 04, 2016

Accepted date: Apr 04, 2016

Published date: Apr 12, 2016

*Corresponding author

Jesus E Millan Nunez-Cortes,
Department of Internal Medicine, General
University Hospital Gregorio Maranon,
Spain, Email: jesus.millan@salud.madrid.org

Distributed under Creative Commons
CC-BY 4.0

Editorial

Current scientific evidence indicates the association between the elevation of triglycerides (TG), the decrease the High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol HDLC and the increase in cardiovascular risk [1]. The analysis arising from major intervention studies demonstrates that both in acute coronary syndrome as well as in chronic ischemic cardiovascular diseases, the hypertriglyceridemia (HTG) and the decreased concentration of HDLC is accompanied by a high cardiovascular risk even in populations with recommended LDLC levels according to the guidelines [2-5]. Nevertheless, there is a certain controversy surrounding the suitability of deeming such lipid markers therapeutic objectives [6].

From the physiopathology point of view of the vascular atherosclerosis injury has been widely demonstrated that the remaining particles, rich in TG, may directly contribute to the formation and the progression of atheromatous plaque [7]. Meanwhile the HDLC particles in addition to the role in the reverse transport of cholesterol, demonstrate a wide spectrum of potentially useful biological activities such as those of antiatherosclerotics [8]. In fact, they are both two key elements with a phenotype of small and dense Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL) that constitutes the atherogenic triad characteristic associated with a high cardio metabolic risk.

The association of both disorders is very common, above all in patients with metabolic disorders that are accompanied by high cardiovascular risk in such a way that a variable percentage of between 30- 60% of patients with high risk may show a dyslipidemia with an increase of TG and/or decrease in HDLC [9-11]. In the PROCAM study, the prevalence of this dyslipidemia was double in patients with previous myocardial infarction with respect to those without such circumstances [12]. In the Spanish study, the DYSIS, approximately half of the high risk patients with statins presented said disorder [13].

The Panel of Experts of the European Society of Arteriosclerosis considers that the abnormality associated with the increase of cardiovascular risk is precisely, the association between both disorders: an increase of TG and a decrease of HDLC serum levels, while it is still controversial whether there is an association between the isolated elevation of TG or the isolated decrease of HDLC [14].

Antlerogenic Dyslipidemia

The combination of an increase of TG and a decrease of HDLC is associated with cardiovascular diseases and with a high prevalence in high-risk populations. It is also the lipid profile more frequently found among young people [15, 16], especially in those adolescents who are overweight [17] or who have a metabolic syndrome [18]. According to our results, among the Canadian population, having high TG with low HDLC was associated with mellitus diabetes, sedentarism, obesity, HTA and tobaccoism in comparison with those that had low TG and high HDLC .

Therefore, both dyslipidemic components are relevant criteria for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome and a reference for the cardiovascular risk associated with it among the general population. In the DARIO study performed on the general Spanish population, the metabolic syndrome prevalence was 31%. It was the greatest coronary risk among women and persons with metabolic syndrome [19]. In the MESYAS study the metabolic syndrome is related to coronary disease and both the independent association of HTG (odds ratio: 3.39) as well as the isolated decrease in HDLC (odds ratio 2.35) is significant [20]. Moreover, in patients with mellitus type 2 diabetes both the HTG as well as the decrease in HDLC are predictor factors regardless of coronary disease, and the combination of both is a good predictor of atherosclerotic disease evaluated by means of the intima-average thickness [21,22]. It is known that among diabetic patients the TG is two to three times more frequent that in non-diabetics and at the same time, the total cholesterol/HDLC or LDLC/ HDLC proportion (accompanying characteristic of HTG) is found to be higher in these patients, both facts of which express the atherogenic dyslipemia accompanying diabetics. A recent study

has indicated that approximately 60% of patients with HTG have an increase of the LDLC/ HDLC [23] quotient and in another study the TG/HDLC ratio identified insulin resistance in non-obese, non-diabetic Asian adults [24].

In short, the HTG is a commonly secondary lipid anomaly to a spectrum of clinical situations that are accompanied by a high risk associated with metabolic factors, very particularly those characterized by insulin resistance. In clinical practice the occurrence of HTG and low HDLC is especially frequent in those circumstances in which there is a context of underlying insulin resistance [25] and in fact the TG/HDLC index has been recommended as a biological marker of insulin resistance [26] and in such cases it is frequently found to comprise a constellation of risk linked to small and dense LDL, constituting a lipid profile with special atherogenic power. Therefore, the HTG + low HDLC comprises the association known as metabolic syndrome [27-29], that has been demonstrated an association with a risk 5 times greater than type 2 mellitus diabetes and 2 times for cardiovascular illness [30,31]. In our study the presence of the HTG binome with low HDLC was statistically significant in patients with type 2 mellitus diabetes and/or metabolic syndrome.

Likewise, HTG may increase the vascular risk either directly or indirectly, in this case through changes in the composition, size or concentration of other lipoproteins, particularly the HDLC. It has been indicated that the association of HTG with cardiovascular disease is modulated through HDLC concentration due on one side to the influence that lipoproteins rich in TG have in the conditioning metabolism of the concentration and composition of the HDLC [32] and on the other, due to the phenotypical heterogeneity of previously mentioned association, genetically conditioned [33]. In addition the composition and quality of the HDLC particle governs certain enzymatic activities of the TG concentrations such as the hepatic lipase, lipolytic enzyme which contributes to the regulation of the plasmatic levels of TG the hepatic release of which is regulated by the HDLC [34]. In this manner, changes in HDLC may inhibit the release and activation of the hepatic lipase and, affect the TG plasmatic level. In the same way, the relationship of TG and HDLC is performed through the enzymatic activity of the cholesterol ester transfer protein that transfers cholesterol from the HDLC molecule to the very-low density lipid in order to enrich cholesterol in its metabolic route to LDLC. In presence of a resistance to insulin, the activity of the lipoprotein lipase is deteriorated while the activity of the hepatic lipase is increased [35].

These facts justify that HTG by itself or by its association with low HDLC has an impact on vascular pathology a despite of the concentrations of LDLC [36].

All the aforementioned, while being able to provide orientation in regard to therapeutic needs, may also indicate new therapeutic targets for the standardization of the hypertriglyceridemia – low HDLC binome through an increase of hepatic lipase and CEPT inhibition. As of the present time, modifications in lifestyle, physical exercise, abstinence from tobacco and pharmaceuticals such as fibrates, niacin and omega-3 fatty acids are the most effective means for correcting isolated hypertriglyceridemia or that related to low HDLC [37] and in this manner, correct atherogenic dyslipemia that is characteristically found in metabolic risk situations such as mellitus type 2 diabetes or metabolic syndrome, conferring an excess of risk and a non-LDLC dependent residual risk [38].

Triglycerides represent one component of a heterogeneous pool of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. The reliance on triglycerides or triglyceride-rich lipoproteins as cardiovascular disease risk biomarkers prompted investigations into therapies that lower plasma triglycerides as a means to reduce cardiovascular diseases events. Genetic studies identified triglyceride-rich lipoproteins components and pathways involved in their synthesis and metabolism dislipemia. Our study reveals how there is a wide margin of improvement in the use of pharmaceuticals with clinical benefit in these patients, and whether we need to address with greater impetus the pharmacological treatment of atherogenic dislipemia.

In this sense, we must highlight Mendelian randomization studies in which known genetic mutations lead to the elevation or fall in plasmatic levels of lipoproteins rich in triglycerides that are in accordance with the size and increase vs. decrease direction of the risk of cardiovascular disease [39]. Mendelian randomization data strongly suggest HTG causes atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, and so TG level-lowering treatment in HTG is now more strongly recommended to address the residual atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk than has been published in the guidelines.

References

1. Chapman MJ, Ginsberg HN, Amarenco P, Andreotti F, Borén J, Catapano AL, et al. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease: evidence and guidance for management. *Eur Heart J*. 2011; 32: 1345-1361.
2. Miller M, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, Qin J, Ray KK, Braunwald E, et al Impact of triglyceride levels beyond low-density lipoprotein cholesterol after acute coronary syndrome in the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008; 51: 724-30.
3. Barter P, Gotto AM, LaRosa JC, Maroni J, Szarek M, Grundy SM, et al. Treating to New Targets Investigators. HDLC cholesterol, very low levels of LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; 357: 1301-1310.
4. Olsson AG, Schwartz GG, Szarek M, Sasiela WJ, Ezekowitz MD, Ganz P, et al. High-density lipoprotein, but no low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels influence short-term prognosis after acute coronary syndrome results from the MIRACL trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2005; 26: 890-896.
5. Wolfram RM, Brewer HB, Xue Z, Satler LF, Pichard AD, Kent KM, et al. Impact of low high-density lipoproteins on in-hospital events and one-year clinical outcomes in patients with non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction acute coronary syndrome treated with drug-eluting stent implantation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006; 98: 711-717.
6. Catapano AL, Reiner Z, De Backer G, Graham I, Taskinen MR, Wiklund O, et al. ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias The Task Force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). *Atherosclerosis*. 2011; 217: 1-44.
7. Ginsberg HN. New perspectives on atherogenesis. Role of abnormal triglyceride-rich lipoprotein metabolism. *Circulation*. 2002; 106: 2137-42.
8. Rye KA, Bursill CA, Lambert G, Tabet F, Barter PJ. The metabolism and antiatherogenic properties of HDLCC. *J Lipid Res*. 2009; 50: S195-S200.
9. Mahley RW, Palaogiu KE, Atak Z, Dawson-Pepin J, Langlois AM, Cheung V, et al. Turkish Heart Study: lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins. *J Lipid Res*. 1995; 36: 839-859.
10. Sanisoglu SY, Oktenli C, Hasimi A, Yokusoglu M, Ugurlu M. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome-related disorders in a large adult population in Turkey. *BMC Public Health*. 2006; 6: 92.
11. Eriksson M, Zethelius B, EEG-Olofsson K, Nilsson PM, Gudbjornsdottir

- S, Cederholm J, et al. Blood lipids in 75,048 type 2 diabetic patients: a population-based survey from the Swedish National diabetes registers. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2011; 18: 97-105.
12. Assmann G, Cullen P, Schultze H. Non-LDL related dyslipidemia and coronary risk: a case-control study. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2010; 7: 204-212.
13. Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Millan J, Alegría E, Guijarro C, Lozano JV, Vitale GC, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of lipid abnormalities in patients treated with statins in primary and secondary prevention in Spain. *DYSIS-Spain Study*. *Rev ESP Cardiol*. 2011; 64: 286-294.
14. Frikke-Schmidt R. Genetic variation in the ABCA1 gene, HDLC cholesterol, and risk of ischemic heart disease in the general population. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010; 208: 305-316.
15. Christian JB, Juneja MX, Meadowcroft AM, Borden S, Lowe KA. Prevalence, characteristics, and risk factors of elevated triglyceride levels in US children. *Clin Pediatr*. 2011; 50: 1103-1109.
16. De Giorgis T, Marcovecchio ML, Di Giovanni I, Giannini C, Chiavaroli V, Chiarelli F, et al. Triglycerides-to-HDLCC ratio as a new marker of endothelial dysfunction in obese prepubertal. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2013; 170: 173-180.
17. Vieira Cunha Lima SC, Oliveira Lyra C, Bacurau Pinheiro LG, Medeiros de Azevedo PR, Arrais RF, Campos Pedrosa LF, et al. Association between dyslipidemia and anthropometric indicators in adolescents. *Nutr Hosp*. 2011; 26: 304-310.
18. Nasreddine L, Naja F, Tabet M, Habbal MZ, El-Aily A, Haikal C, et al. Obesity is associated with insulin resistance and components of the metabolic syndrome in Lebanese adolescents. *Ann Hum Biol*. 2012; 39: 122-128.
19. Fernandez-Berges D, Cabrera de León A, Sanz H, Elosua R, Guembe MJ, Alzamora M, et al. Metabolic syndrome in Spain: prevalence and coronary risk associated with harmonized definition and WHO proposal. *DARIOS study*. *Rev ESP Cardiol*. 2012; 65: 241-248.
20. Laclaustra M, Ordonez B, Leon M, Andres EM, Cordero A, Pascual-Calleja I, et al. Metabolic syndrome and coronary heart disease among Spanish male workers: a case-control study of MESYAS. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2012; 22: 510-516.
21. Temelkova-Kurktschiev T, Hanefeld M. The lipid triad in type 2 diabetes-prevalence and relevance of hypertriglyceridaemia/low high-density lipoprotein syndrome in type 2 diabetes. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2004; 112: 75-9.
22. Pacifico L, Bonci E, Andreoli G, Romaggioli S, Di Miscio R, Lombardo C, et al. Association of serum triglyceride-to-HDLCC cholesterol ratio with carotid artery intima-media thickness, insulin resistance and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in children and adolescents. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014; 29: 737-743.
23. Snehalatha Ch, Nanditha A, Shetty AS, Ramachandran A. Hypertriglyceridemia either in isolation or in combination with abdominal obesity is strongly associated with atherogenic dyslipidaemia in Asian Indians. *Diab Res & Clin Pract*. 2011; 94: 140-145.
24. Sun Y, Li W, Hou X, Wang C, Li C, Zhang, et al. Triglycerides and ratio of triglycerides to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol are better than liver enzymes to identify insulin resistance in urban middle-aged and older non-obese Chinese without diabetes. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2014; 127: 1858-1862.
25. McLaughlin T, Abbasi F, Cheal K, Chu J, Lamendola C, Reaven G, et al. Use of metabolic markers to identify overweight individuals who are insulin resistant. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; 139: 802-809.
26. Subramanian, Chait A. Hypertriglyceridemia secondary to obesity and diabetes. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2012; 1821: 819-825.
27. Summer AE, Zhou J, Doumately A, Imoisili OE, Amoah A, Acheampong J, et al. Low HDLCC-cholesterol with normal triglyceride levels is the most common lipid pattern in west Africans and African Americans with metabolic syndrome: Implications for cardiovascular disease prevention. *CVD Prev Control*. 2010; 5: 75-80.
28. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among USA adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA* 2002; 287: 356-359.
29. Park YW, Zhu S, Palaniappan L, Heshka S, Carnethon MR, Heymsfield SB, et al. The metabolic syndrome: prevalence and associated risk factor findings in the US population from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003; 163: 427-436.
30. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention, National heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association, World Heart federation, International Atherosclerosis Society, and International Association for the Study of Obesity. *Circulation*. 2009; 120: 1640-1645.
31. Grundy SM. Metabolic syndrome pandemic. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2008; 28: 629-636.
32. Goldberg IJ, Eckel RH, C Pherson R. Triglycerides and heart disease: still a hypothesis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2011; 31: 1716-1725.
33. Johansen CT, Wang J, Lanktree MB, McIntyre AD, Ban MR, Martins RA, et al. An increased burden of common and rare lipid-associated risk alleles contributes to the phenotypic spectrum of hypertriglyceridemia. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2011; 31: 1916-1926.
34. Chatterjee C, Sparks DL. Hepatic lipase, high density lipoproteins, and hypertriglyceridemia. *Am J Pathol*. 2011; 178: 1429-1433.
35. Adiels M, Olofsson SO, Taskinen MR, Boren J. Overproduction of very low-density lipoproteins in the hallmark of the dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2008; 28: 1225-1236.
36. Talayero BG, Sacks FM. The role of triglycerides in atherosclerosis. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2011; 13: 544-552.
37. Bersot T, Haffner S, Harris WS, Kellick KA, Morris CM. Hypertriglyceridemia: management of atherogenic dyslipidemia. *J Fam Pract*. 2006; 55: S1-S8.
38. Nesto RW. Beyond low-density lipoprotein: addressing the atherogenic lipid triad in type 2 diabetes mellitus and the metabolic syndrome. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs*. 2005; 5: 379-387.
39. Nordestgaard BG, Tybjaerg-Hansen A. Genetic determinants of LDL, lipoprotein (a), triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and HDLCC: concordance and discordance with cardiovascular disease risk. *Current Opinion in Lipidology*. 2011; 22: 113-122.