

Evaluating the Kidney Stones; are the Volume and Size Equal in One or Two Dimensions? Accustomed Inaccuracy

Musab Ilgi*, Kaya Horasanli and Sinan Levent Kirecci

Department of Urology, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Research and Training Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Sisli, Istanbul, Turkey

Article Information

Received date: Apr 16, 2017

Accepted date: Apr 17, 2017

Published date: Apr 21, 2017

*Corresponding author

Musab Ilgi, Urology Clinic, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Research and Training Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Sisli, Istanbul, Turkey, Tel: 0090 553 307 3468; Fax: 0090 212 224 07 72; Email: ilgimusab@gmail.com

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Keywords Stone volume; Kidney stones; Health expense; Guidelines

Abbreviations EAU: European Urology Association; CT: Computerised Tomography; RIRS: Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery; PNL: Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy; SWL: Shock Wave Lithotripsy

Article DOI 10.36876/smjnk.1001s

Introduction

Urinary lithiasis is a common disease, prevalence rates vary from 1% to 20%, according to gender, dietary, ethnic, the geographical, and genetic factors [1]. Urinary Stone recurrence rates around the 50% at ten years. Each year in the United States, people make more than a million visits to health care providers and more than 300,000 people go to emergency rooms for kidney stone problems [2]. Therefore; kidney stones are epidemiologically trouble for countries.

Discussion

Governments are spending huge budgets on a treatment of the renal calculi. While preferring to the appropriate methods, some parameters such as the stone position, size, location and features of the patients are crucial. This point has great importance for the patients to reduce complications, hospitalisation and urology visits and increase patient satisfaction. Especially labour loss, much more health expenses can genuinely effect on the government's policy. Therefore, urological societies and associations develop the guidelines to enlighten the way of surgeons and also patients [3]. According to last updated EAU Guidelines bigger than 2 cm calculi, PNL, between one and two cm depend on the features SWL and Endourology smaller than 1 cm stones should be under gone to SWL or RIRS as a first choice. Millimeter measurement does not reflect the real volume that is significant problems in here [4]. As a scientist; 23 mm length, 6 mm width calculi and 23 mm length 12 mm width stones's size couldn't be a similar in the evidence-based medicine. (Figure 1) There is not a convincing correlation between one and two dimensions. So, volumetric measurement prevents the discordance of the Stone size and interobserver variability and gives more certain aspects to approaching the Renal Stones treatment. A Volume which is a strong predictive factor related to the operative, fluoroscopy time and hospitalisation time was measured [5].



Figure 1: CT images show two different stones have similar length but their wideness different. So; their Stone volume also is a significantly different.

Conclusion

In our practice, we measure the Stone volume by using non-contrast CT coronal image with this formula: (stone width × stone length × $\pi \times 0.25$) ^{1.27} × 0.6). Herewith, we can arrange our stone treatment strategy easily and efficiently. The guidelines also should not ignore this dilemma in the pretreatment evaluation of urinary stones. Much more well-constructed volume measurement researches need to improve the renal stone burden detection methods with the new validate formulas and parameters.

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN: 2576-5450

References

1. Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ. A prospective study of dietary calcium and other nutrients and the risk of symptomatic kidney stones. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1993; 328: 833-838.
2. Bott DM, Mary C Kapp, Lorraine B Johnson, Linda M Magno. Disease management for chronically ill beneficiaries in traditional Medicare. *Health Affairs*. 2009; 28: 86-98.
3. Ramirez D, Y Lotan. Cost-Effectiveness in Minimally Invasive Urologic Surgery, in *Minimally Invasive Urology*. 2014; 239-250.
4. Turk C, Ales Petrik, Kemal Sarica, Christian Seitz, Andreas Skolarikos, Michael Straub, et al. EAU guidelines on interventional treatment for urolithiasis. *European urology*. 2016; 69: 475-482.
5. Sorokin I, Diana K. Cardona-GrauAlexandra RehfussAlan BirneyCostas Stavrakis, et al. Stone volume is best predictor of operative time required in retrograde intrarenal surgery for renal calculi: implications for surgical planning and quality improvement. *Urolithiasis*. 2016: 545-550.