

Scientific Expansion or Scientific Directions: Manifestation of Suspense

Atilla Senayli^{1*}¹Department of Pediatric Surgery, Yildirim Beyazit University, Turkey**Article Information**

Received date: Apr 29, 2016

Accepted date: May 12, 2016

Published date: Jun 04, 2016

***Corresponding author**

Atilla Senayli, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Yildirim Beyazit University, Turkey, Tel: 90 506 691 7990; Email: atillasenayli@gmail.com

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Editorial

Scientific researches show enormous growth in 5 or 6 decades. As public well-being has always been an attractive subject, health investigations are superior studies among scientific branches. This charm has been affecting dynamically various sectors to produce health material for idealistic and/or economic reasons.

In the contemporary "Science Universe", the whole knowledge is expanding like a spherical burst of the cosmos we live in. Under the influence of aforementioned motives, health investigations not only increase in numbers but also increase in varieties. For example, retrospective-prospective studies, cohort evaluations, Cochrane studies and multicenter clinic studies are some of them. According to me, multicenter clinical studies are the most important among these studies. In my point of view, multicenter studies are stratified like a "two dimensional" grid, metaphorically. To perform a multicenter studies, authors meet others that have same aims, and all of them attempt to find an answer to a specific question in different environment. So, this multicenter and hard working will be more meaningful than one center trials in order to make a definition to solve a problem.

I dream, as in the two-dimension example, there must be more dimensional studies for specific aims. Or else, it seems to me that it is impossible to put all the data together to enhance a very effective result like an utter therapy. Otherwise, there will be a time consuming and an exhausting refinement with incomplete results for therapies.

In all over the world, every valuable scientist is putting forward millions of data. They are trying to "revise" these data, also. However, as we know all, these revised trials are only little parts of the known data. As these revisions are not well organized in a world-base work-up, problems stand still.

What is to be done?

Controlling the "spherical expansion like the burst of the universe" may be impossible but we may all choose to work on specific directions for specific targets after a common and worldwide consensus. Concrete definition of this proposal may be termed as "Global Centric Study". Authors, associations and other supreme organizations like world health organizations may (must) hold together for the common sake. After evaluating the lacking parts of the diseases especially focusing on treatment strategies in a global spectrum, all volunteered scientists must aim to get over the problems. In this big organization, volunteers must be encouraged and rewarded because of being a worker of commonwealth. For this purpose, journals may have an important role to motivate authors. Journals in same categories may organize the "calls for global study groups" and after then, global study groups may declare the targets by these journals. In contemporary technology, it is not necessary for the authors to come together in the same locations. Forums or teleconferences organized by journal committees may be fastened the process.

So, whatever it is planned, journal may have a magnificent duty to perform a very jolly serve for public.